

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 12 Sept 64 12/0405Z	2. LOCATION Kent, England
3. SOURCE Police Officers	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION Insufficient Data. Newsclipping not included. Third hand report.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Few Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Information on sighting forwarded for evaluation without the essential data. Newsclipping omitted. Only statement that the UFO was observed heading in a Northerly direction, was quite bright, and observed for a relatively few minutes.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Northerly	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

12 SEP 64

COPY.

2nd. October. 1964.

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of the 25th. September. 1964. There is little to add to the newspaper report which you enclosed with your letter, except to say that this object was seen at 4.5 am. on the 12th. September. 1964, and was observed by a number of police officers travelling in a northerly direction towards Essex. It was quite bright in appearance and was lost from sight after a relatively few minutes.

Yours Faithfully.

Chief Constable of Kent.



REPORT TO THE U.S.A.F. BLUEBOOK PROJECT.

As you will see from the enclosed (a copy),
a U.F.O was observed on 12th Sept '64, by
the Kent police.

My own personal conclusion on this
one, that the police are very reliable
reporters & therefore it can be taken for
granted that this was not an optical
illusion.

This is the first U.F.O I have tried
to get information on, & to date have not
been very successful, the reasons being stated
below.

As soon as I can afford it, I'm
going to place an advert in the national
papers, asking people who think they have
seen a U.F.O to write to me. I know
that some will be fake, but with any
luck be able to separate the bad from
the good. Would you be interested in
having copies of these (if any).

The main reason I can't pass on
any more information is as follows:-(1) Lack of
official interest here in England. (2) Being a private
worker I am, (as to coin a phrase) bashing my
head against an "official brick wall" (3) People
are inclined to think that official would
laugh at them, this latter statement is true.

Any-way if you follow this report up,
please would you let me know the result.

Munro, Malcolm

CASE 12 SEPT 64

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] d.

14th. September. 1964.

Dear Sir,

I am doing some private research work into "Unidentified Flying Objects" (U.F.O.). I have been in contact with the U.S. Air Attache here in London, and he recommended that I write to you as regards information on this subject. I would therefore be very grateful if you would send me all the details on U.F.O.s that have ~~been reported to the U.S.A.F.~~ been reported to the U.S.A.F.

Yours Faithfully.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ENGLAND.

6th. October. 1964.

Dear Sir,

Dear Sir,
I am doing some private search work into U.F.O.s. Enclosed, you will find a report of a U.F.O. The first time I herd of this one was a small artical in the national papers.

I hope you will find the report of use to you.

Yours Faithfully.



To: Office of Information,
Headquarters U.S. Air Force.,
Washington, D.C.,
U.S.A.